# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

THELMEAS WALKER, JR.,
Petitioner,

V.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE;
GRANTING MOTION FOR LEAVE
TO PROCEED IN FORMA
PAUPERIS

(Docket No. 5)

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding <u>pro</u> <u>se</u>, filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, challenging his state conviction. Petitioner has filed a motion for leave to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u>. (Docket No. 5.)

#### **BACKGROUND**

According to the petition, Petitioner was found guilty of forcible rape, residential robbery, and use of a firearm by a jury in Alameda County Superior Court. (Pet. at 1-2.) The jury also found true the enhancement allegations that Petitioner committed forcible rape while committing first degree burglary with the intent to commit a sexual offense.

(Id.) Petitioner was sentenced on March 16, 2012, to "25 Years to life Plus 10." (Id.)

Petitioner appealed his conviction to the state appellate court and then to the state

high court. (Pet. at 2.)

Petitioner filed the instant federal habeas petition on December 28, 2015. 1

## **DISCUSSION**

## A. Standard of Review

This court may entertain a petition for a writ of habeas corpus "in behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court only on the ground that he is in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a).

It shall "award the writ or issue an order directing the respondent to show cause why the writ should not be granted, unless it appears from the application that the applicant or person detained is not entitled thereto." <u>Id.</u> § 2243.

## B. <u>Legal Claims</u>

Petitioner raise the following grounds for federal habeas relief: (1) his life sentence for a crime committed while he was a juvenile violates the Eighth Amendment, (Pet. at 6-7); (2) testimony regarding sexual assault trauma syndrome deprived him of a fair trial, (id. at 9-11); (3) improper jury instructions stating "proof of ejaculation was unnecessary" deprived him of a fair trial, (id. at 12-14); and (4) the trial court's response to a jury inquiry regarding "intent-formed-after-entry-of-the-house" was inadequate and deprived him of his right to a fair trial, (id. at 14-16). Liberally construed, these claims are cognizable under § 2254 and merit an answer from Respondent.

## **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons and for good cause shown,

1. Petitioner's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, (Docket No. 5), is GRANTED.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This matter was transferred to this Court from the Eastern District of California on March 17, 2016. (See Docket Nos. 3, 8.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 2. The Clerk shall serve by mail a copy of this order and the petition and all attachments thereto, as well as a magistrate judge jurisdiction consent form, on Respondent and Respondent's attorney, the Attorney General of the State of California. The Clerk also shall serve a copy of this order on Petitioner.
- 3. Respondent shall file with the court and serve on Petitioner, within sixty (60) days of the issuance of this order, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be issued. Respondent shall file with the answer and serve on Petitioner a copy of all portions of the state trial record that have been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the petition. At that time, Respondent shall also return the magistrate judge jurisdiction consent form.

If Petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse with the Court and serving it on Respondent within thirty (30) days of his receipt of the answer.

- 4. Respondent may file a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an answer, as set forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. If Respondent files such a motion, Petitioner shall file with the Court and serve on Respondent an opposition or statement of non-opposition within twentyeight (28) days of receipt of the motion, and Respondent shall file with the court and serve on Petitioner a reply within fourteen (14) days of receipt of any opposition.
- 5. It is Petitioner's responsibility to prosecute this case. Petitioner is reminded that all communications with the Court must be served on Respondent by mailing a true copy of the document to Respondent's counsel. Petitioner must keep the Court and all parties informed of any change of address by filing a separate paper captioned "Notice of Change of Address." He must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).
  - 6. Upon a showing of good cause, requests for a reasonable extension of time

United States District Court

will be granted provided they are filed on or before the deadline they seek to extend.

This order terminates Docket No. 5.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

HOWARD R. LLOYD United States Magistrate Judge

Order to Show Cause; Granting IFP P:\PRO-SE\HRL\HC.16\01280Walker\_osc&ifp